INTRODUCTION

TO THE

SECOND AND THIRD EPISTLES OF JOHN.

§ 1. The authenticity of the second and third Epistles of John.

The authenticity of these two epistles was doubted by many in the early Christian church, and it was not before a considerable time had elapsed that their canonical authority was fully admitted. The first of the three epistles was always received as the undoubted production of the apostle John; but, though not positively and absolutely rejected, there were many doubts entertained in regard to the authorship of the second and third. Their exceeding brevity, and the fact that they were addressed to individuals, and seemed not designed for general circulation, made them less frequently referred to by the early Christian writers, and renders it more difficult to establish their genuineness.

The evidence of their genuineness is of two kinds—external and internal Though, from their brevity, the proof on these points must be less full and clear than it is in regard to the first epistle; yet it is such as to satisfy the mind, on the whole, that they are the production of the apostle John, and are entitled to

a place in the canon of Scripture.

(1.) External evidence. The evidence of this kind, either for or against the authenticity of these epistles, is found in the following testimonies respecting them in the writings of the Fathers, and the following facts in regard to their

admission into the canon.

(a) In the church and school at Alexanoria they were both well known, and were received as a part of the sacred writings. Clement of Alexandria, and Alexander, bishop of Alexandria, quote them, or refer to them, as the writings of the apostle John.—Lardner's works, vi. 275; Lücke, p. 329. Origen, the successor of Clement, says, 'John left behind him an epistle of very few stichoi; perhaps also a second and third, though some do not consider these genuine. Both these together, however, contain only an hundred stichoi.' Dionysius of Alexandria shows that he was acquainted with all of them, but calls the two last φιρόμενας—writings alleged to be genuine. For the import of this word, as used

by Dionysius, see Lücke's Com., pp. 330, 331.

(b) These epistles were known and received in the western churches in the second and third centuries. Of this fact, an important witness is found in Irenæus, who, on account of the place where he resided during his youth, and the school in which he was educated, deserves especial regard as a witness respecting the works of John.—Hug. He was born at Smyrna, and lived not long after the times of the apostles. He was a disciple of Polycarp, who was acquainted with the apostle John; and having passed his early years in Asia Minor, must, in the circumstances in which he was placed, have been familiar with the writings of John, and have known well what writings were attributed to him. He quotes the second epistle, (ver. 11,) and with express reference to John as the author, under the name of 'John, the disciple of our Lord.' In another place, also, he refers to this epistle. After quoting from the first epistle, he continues, 'And

John, the disciple of Jesus, in the epistle before mentioned, commanded that they (the heretics) should be shunned, saying, '&c. He then quotes, word for word, the seventh and eighth verses of the epistle.

(c) The African church, in the third century, regarded the second epistle, at least, as the production of John. At a synod in Carthage, under Cyprian, Aurelius, the bishop of Chullabi, in giving his vote on the question of baptizing heretics, quotes the tenth verse of the second epistle as authority, saying, 'John,

in his epistle, declares,' &c.

(d) There is some doubt in regard to the Syrian church, whether these epistles were at first received as genuine or not. The manuscripts of the Peshito, or old Syriac version, at least since the sixth century, do not contain the epistle of Jude, the second epistle of Peter, or the second and third of John. Yet Ephrem the Syrian, in the fourth century, quotes the epistle of Jude, the second epistle of Peter, and the second of John, as genuine and canonical. As this father in the Syrian church was not acquainted with the Greek language, (Lücke,) it is clear that he must have read these epistles in a translation, and as would seem most probable in some Syriac version. The probability would seem to be, as these epistles are not in the oldest Syriac version, that there was some doubt about their authenticity when that version was made, but that before the time of Ephrem they had come to be regarded as genuine, and were translated by some other persons. Their use in the time of Ephrem would at least show that they were then regarded as genuine. They may have been, indeed, at some period attached to the ancient version, but at a later period, as they did not originally belong to that version, they may have been separated from it.—Lücke, in loc. At all events, it is clear that at an early period in the Syrian church they were regarded as genuine.

(e) Though there were doubts among many of the Fathers respecting the genuineness of these epistles, yet they were admitted in several councils of the church to be genuine. In the eighty-fifth of the apostolic canons, (so called;) in the sixtieth canon of the synod of Laodicea; the council at Hippo, (A.D. 393,) and the third council of Carthage, (A.D. 397,) they were reckoned as undoubtedly

pertaining to the inspired canon of Scripture.

(f) All doubts on the subject of the genuineness of these epistles were, however, subsequently removed in the view of Christian writers, and in the middle ages they were universally received as the writings of the apostle John. Some of the Reformers again had doubts of their genuineness. Erasmus quoted the sentiment of Jerome, that it was not the apostle John who wrote these epistles, but a presbyter of the same name; and Calvin seems to have entertained some doubt of their genuineness, for he has omitted them in his commentaries; but these doubts have also disappeared, and the conviction has again become general, and indeed almost universal, that they are to be ranked among the genuine writings of the apostle John.

It may be added here, that the doubts which have been entertained on the subject, and the investigations to which they have given rise, show the care which has been evinced in forming the canon of the New Testament, and demonstrate that the Christian world has not been *disposed* to receive books as of sacred

authority without evidence of their genuineness.

- (2.) There is strong internal evidence that they are genuine. This is found in their style, sentiment, and manner. It is true that one who was familiar with the writings of the apostle John might compose two short epistles like these, that should be mistaken for the real productions of the apostle. There are, even in these brief epistles, not a few passages which seem to be a mere repetition of what John has elsewhere said. But there are some things in regard to the internal evidence that they are the writings of the apostle John, and were not designedly forged, which deserve a more particular notice. They are such as these:—
 - (a) As already said, the style, sentiment, and manner are such as are appro-

priate to John. There is nothing in the epistles which we might not suppose he would write; there is much that accords with what he has written; there is much in the style which would not be likely to be found in the writings of another man; and there is nothing in the sentiments which would lead us to suppose that the manner of the apostle John had been assumed, for the purpose of palming upon the world productions which were not his. Resemblances between these epistles will strike every reader, and it is unnecessary to specify them. The following passages, however, are so decidedly in the manner of John, that it may be presumed that they were either written by him, or by one who designed to copy from him: second epistle, vers. 5-7, 9; third epistle, vers. 11, 12.

(b) The fact that the name of the writer is not affixed to the epistles is much in the manner of John. Paul, in every case except in the epistle to the Hebrews, affixed his name to his epistles; Peter, James, and Jude did the same thing. John, however, has never done it in any of his writings, except the Apocalypse. He seems to have supposed that there was something about his style and manner which would commend his writings as genuine; or that in some other way they would be so well understood to be his, that it was not necessary to specify it. Yet the omission of his name, or of something that would lay claim to his authority as an apostle, would not be likely to occur if these epistles were fabricated with a design of palming them upon the world as his. The artifice would be too refined, and would be too likely to defeat itself, to be adopted by one who should form such a plan.

(c) The apparently severe and harsh remarks made in the epistle in regard to heretics, may be adverted to as an evidence that these epistles are the genuine writings of John the apostle. Thus, in the second epistle, ver. 10, he says, 'If there come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed.' So in the third epistle, ver. 10: 'If I come, I will remember his deeds which he doeth, prating against us with malicious words,' &c. It has been made an objection to the genuineness of these epistles, that this is not in the spirit of the mild and amiable 'disciple whom Jesus loved;' that it breathes a temper of uncharitableness and severity which could not have existed in him at any time, and especially when, as an old man, he is said to have preached nothing but 'love one another.' But two circumstances will show that this, so far from being an objection, is rather a proof of their genuineness. One is, that in fact these expressions accord with what we know to have been the character of John. They are not inappropriate to one who was named by the Master himself, 'Boanerges-a son of thunder,' (Mark iii. 17;) or to one who was disposed to call down fire from heaven on the Samaritan who would not receive the Lord Jesus, (Luke ix. 54;) or to one who, when he saw another casting out devils in the name of Jesus, took upon himself the authority to forbid him, (Mark ix. 38.) The truth is, that there was a remarkable mixture of gentleness and severity in the character of John; and though the former was the most prominent, and may be supposed to have increased as he grew old, yet the other also often manifested itself. There was that in the character of John, which, under some circumstances, and under other teaching than that of the Lord Jesus, might have been developed in the form of great exclusiveness, bigotry, and sternness-perhaps in the form of open persecution. Under the teaching of the Saviour, and through his example, his milder and better nature prevailed, and so decidedly acquired the ascendency, that we almost never think of the harsher traits of his character. The other circumstance is, that it would never have occurred to one who should have attempted to forge an epistle in the name of John, to have introduced a passage of this kind. artifice would have been too little likely to have accomplished the end, to have occurred to the mind, or to have been adopted. The public character of John was so amiable; he was so uniformly spoken of as the 'disciple whom Jesus loved; gentleness and kindness seemed to be such pervading traits in his nature,

that no one would have thought of introducing sentiments which seemed to be at variance with these traits, even though, on a close analysis, it could be made out that they were not contrary to his natural character.

(d) Perhaps, also, the appellation which the writer gives himself in these two epistles, (δ προσβύτορος—the elder,) may be regarded as some evidence that they are the writings of the apostle John; that is, it is more probable that he would use this appellation than that any other writer would. It has, indeed, been made a ground of objection that the use of this term proves that they are not the productions of John. See Lücke, p. 340. But, as we have seen, John was not accustomed to prefix his own name to his writings; and if these epistles were written by him when he was at Ephesus, nothing is more probable than that he should use this term. It can hardly be regarded as an appellation pertaining to office, for as there were many elders or presbyters in the church, (Acts xx. 17,) the use of the term 'the elder' would not be sufficiently distinctive to designate the writer. It may be presumed, therefore, to have a particular respect to age; and, under the circumstances supposed, it would apply to no one with so much propriety as to the apostle John-one who would be well known as the aged and venerable disciple of the Saviour. Compare, however, Lücke (pp. 340-343) on the use of this word.

§ 2. Of the person to whom John addressed his second Epistle.

This epistle purports to be addressed, as it is in our translation, to 'the elect lady '-izhiz ri' zupia. There has been great diversity of opinion in regard to the person here referred to, and there are questions respecting it which it is impossible to determine with absolute certainty. The different opinions which have been entertained are the following: (a) Some have supposed that a Christian matron is referred to, a friend of John, whose name was either Exaliatin (Eclecte,) or Kupia, (Cyria.) Ecumenius and Theophylact supposed that the proper name of the female referred to was Eclecte; others have adopted the other opinion, that the name was Cyria. (b) Others among the ancients, and particularly Clement, supposed that the church was denoted by this name, under the delicate image of an elect lady; either some particular church to whom the epistle was sent, or to the church at large. This opinion has been held by some of the modern writers also. (c) Others have supposed, as is implied in our common version, that it was addressed to some Christian matron, whose name is not mentioned, but who was well known to John, and perhaps to many others, for her piety, and heracts of kindness to Christians. The reason why her name was suppressed, it has been supposed, was that if it had been mentioned it might have exposed her to trouble in some way, perhaps to persecution. (d) Recently, Knauer (Studien und Kritik., 1833, Heft 2. s. 452, ff.) has endeavoured to show that it was addressed to the Virgin Mary, who is supposed then to have resided in Galilee. The improbability of this opinion is shown by Lücke, pp. 352, 353.

These questions are not very important to be determined, even if they could be with accuracy; and at this period of time, and with the few data which we have for forming a correct judgment on the subject, it is not possible to settle them with entire certainty. The probable truth in regard to this point, and all which it seems now possible to ascertain with any degree of certainty, may be

expressed in the following specifications:

(1.) The letter was addressed to an individual, and not to a church. If it had been to a particular church, it would have been specified, for this is the uniform mode in the New Testament. If it were addressed to the church at large, it is in the highest degree improbable that John should have departed from the style of address in his first epistle; improbable in every way that he should have adopted another style so mystical and unusual in a plain prose composition. It is only in poetry, in prophecy, in compositions where figurative language abounds,

that the church is represented as a female at all : and it is wholly improbable that John, at the outset of a brief epistle, should have adopted this appellation. The fact that it was addressed to an individual female is further apparent from the mention of her children: vers. 1, 4, 'Unto the elect lady and her children:' 'I found of the children walking in truth.' This is not such language as one would

use in ...ddressing a church.

(2.) It is probable that the name of this lady was designed to be specified, and that it was Cyria, (Kupia.) This, indeed, is not absolutely certain; but the Greek will readily bear this, and it accords best with apostolic usage to suppose that the name of the person to whom the letter was addressed would be designated. This occurs in the third epistle of John, the epistles of Paul to Philemon, to Pimothy, and to Titus, and, so far as appears, there is no reason why it should not have been done in the case before us. The Syriac and Arabic translators so understand it, for both have retained the name Cyria. It may do something to confirm this view, to remark that the name Cyria was not uncommon, in subsequent times, at least, among Christian females. See Corp. Inscript. Gruter, p. 1127. Num. xi. Pingaros nai n yun aurou Kupia. Comp. Lex. Hagiologic. Lins. 1719, p. 448, where two female martyrs of that name are mentioned. other instances referred to by Lücke, Com. p. 351. If these views are correct. then the true rendering of the passage would be, 'The presbyter unto the elect Cvria.'

(3.) Of this pious female, however, nothing more is known than what is mentioned in this epistle. From that we learn that John was warmly attached to her, (ver. 5;) that she was a mother, and that her children were pious, (vers. 1. 4:) and that she was of a hospitable character, and would be likely to entertain those who came professedly as religious teachers, vers. 10, 11. Where or when she lived, or when she died, we have no information whatever. At the time of writing this epistle, John had strong hopes that he would be permitted to come soon and see her, but whether he ever did so, we are not informed, yer, 12.

§. 3. The canonical authority of the second and third Epistles of John.

The canonical authority of these epistles depends on the following things:

(1.) On the evidence that they are the writings of the apostle John. In proportion as that evidence is clear, their canonical authority is of course established.

(2.) Though brief, and though addressed to individuals, they are admitted into the canon of Scripture with the same propriety as the epistles to Timothy, to

Titus, and to Philemon, for those were addressed also to individuals.

(3.) Like those epistles, also, these contain things of general interest to the church. There is nothing in either that is inconsistent with what John has elsewhere written, or that conflicts with any other part of the New Testament; there is much in them that is in the manner of John, and that breathes his spirit; there is enough in them to tell us of the way of salvation.

Of the time when these epistles were written, and the place where, nothing is known, and conjecture would be useless, as there are no marks of time or place in either, and there is no historical statement that gives the information. It has been the common opinion that they were written at Ephesus, and when John was old. The appellation which he gives of himself, 'the elder,' accords with

this supposition, though it does not make it absolutely certain.

SECOND EPISTLE OF JOHN

THE elder unto the elect lady | in the truth; and not I only, but also and her children, whom I love all they that have known the truth:

ANALYSIS OF THE SECOND EPISTLE.

THE points embraced in this epistle are these: A salutation to the female to whom it is addressed, and an expression of warm attachment to her family, vers. 1-3. An expression of joy and gratitude that he had been permitted to learn that her children had embraced the truth, and were walking in it, ver. 4. An exhortation to live in the exercise of mutual love, in obedience to the great commandment of the Saviour, vers. 5, 6. The fact that many deceivers had gone out into the world, and an exhortation to be on their guard against their arts, vers. 7, 8. A test by which they might be known, and their true character ascertained, ver. 9. An exhortation to show them no countenance whatever; not to treat them in any such way, even in the rites of hospitality, as to give occasion to the charge that she was friendly to their doctrines, vers. 10, 11. A statement that, as he hoped to see her soon, he would not write more to her, ver. 12. And the salutation of the children of some one who is spoken of as her elect sister, ver. 13.

1. The elder. See the Intro., § 1, d.) ¶ Unto the elect lady. The elect or chosen Cyria. See Intro., § 2. He addresses her as one chosen of God to salvation, in the use of a term often applied to Christians in the New Testament. ¶ And her children. The word here rendered children (vixya) would include in itself both sons and daughters, but as the apostle immediately uses a masculine pronoun, oils it would seem more probable that dation of the apostle here shows that it sons only were intended. At all events, is possible that a family shall be exten-

some at least of her children were sons. Of their number and character we have no information, except that (Notes on ver. 4) a part of them were Christians. Whom I love in the truth. See Notes, 1 John iii. 18. The meaning here is, that he truly or sincerely loved them. The introduction of the article the here, which is not in the original, (in alneig) somewhat obscures the sense, as if the meaning were that he loved them so far as they embraced the truth. meaning however is, that he was sincerely attached to them. The word 'whom' here, (ods,) embraces both the mother and her children, though the pronoun is in the masculine gender, in accordance with the usage of the Greek language. No mention is made of her husband, and it may thence be inferred that she was a widow. Had he been living, though he might not have been a Christian, it is to be presumed that some allusion would have been made to him as well as to the children, especially as there is reason to believe that only a part of her children were pious. See Notes, ver. 4. ¶ And not I only, but also all they that have known the truth. That is, all those Christians who had had an opportunity of knowing them, were sincerely attached to them. It would seem, from a subsequent part of the epistle, (ver. 10,) that this female was of a hospitable character, and was accustomed to entertain at her house the professed friends of religion, especially religious teachers, and it is probable that she was the more extensively known from this fact. The commenthe use of such a pronoun proves that sively known as one of order, peace, and dwelleth in us, and shall be with us love. for ever.

3 Grace be with you, mercy, and peace, from God the Father, and from the Lord Jesus Christ, the

2 For the truth's sake, which | Son of the Father, in truth and

4 I rejoiced greatly that I found of thy children walking in truth, as we have received a commandment from the Father.

religion, so that all who know it or hear of it shall regard it with interest, res-

pect, and love.

2. For the truth's sake. They love this family because they love the truth, and see it so cordially embraced and so happily exemplified. They who love the gospel itself will rejoice in all the effects which it produces in society, on individuals, families, neighbourhoods, and their hearts will be drawn with warm affection to the places where its influence is most fully seen. ¶ Which dwelleth In us who are Christians; that is, the truths of the gospel which we Truth may be said to have embraced. have taken up a permanent abode in the hearts of all who love religion. ¶ And shall be with us for ever. Its abode with us is not for a night or a day; not for a month or a year; not for the few years that make up mortal life; it is not a passing stranger that finds a lodging like the weary traveller for a night, and in the morning is gone to be seen no more; it has come to us to make our hearts its permanent home, and it is to be with us in all worlds, and while ceaseless ages shall roll away.

3. Grace be unto you, &c. See Notes Rom. i. 7. This salutation does not differ from those commonly employed by the sacred writers, except in the cmphasis which is placed on the fact that the Lord Jesus Christ is 'the Son of the Father.' This is much in the style of John, in all of whose writings he dwells much on the fact that the Lord Jesus is the Son of God, and on the importance of recognising that fact in order to the possession of true religion. Comp. 1 John ii. 22, 23; iv. 15; v. 1, 2, 10-12, 20. ¶ In truth and love. This phrase is not to be connected with the expression 'the Son of the Father,' as if it meant that he was his Son 'in | truth and love,' but is rather to be con-

might be manifested to this family in promoting truth and love.

4. I rejoiced greatly that I found, &c. That I learned this fact respecting some of thy children. The apostle does not say how he had learned this. It may have been that he had become personally acquainted with them when they were away from their home, or that he had learned it from others. The word used (ευρηκα) would apply to either method. Grotius supposed that some of the sons had come on business to Ephesus, and that John had become acquainted with them there. ¶ Of thy children walking in truth. That is, true Christians; living in accordance with the truth, for this constitutes the essence of religion. The expression used here, 'of thy children,' (ix Two Tixywy,) means some of thy children; implying that he knew of a part of them who were true Christians. This is clear from the Greek construction, because (a) if he had meant to say that he had found them all to be of this description, the sentiment would have been directly expressed, 'thy children:' but as it is, some word is necessary to be understood to complete the sense; and (b) the same thing is demanded by the fact that the participle used (walkingπεριπατούντας) is in the accusative case. If he had referred to them all, the participle would have been in the genitive, agreeing with the word children, (var σεριτατοῦντων.)—Lücke. Whether the apostle means to say that only a part of them had in fact embraced the gospel, or that he had only known that a part of them had done it, though the others might have done it without his knowledge, is not quite clear, though the former supposition appears to be the correct one, for if they had all become Christians it is to be presumed that he would have been informed of it. The probability seems to be that a part of nected with the 'grace, mercy, and her children only were truly pious, peace' referred to, as a prayer that they though there is no evidence that the

not as though I wrote a new commandment unto thee, but that which love cone another.

6 And this is love, b that we walk This is after his commandments. the commandment, That, as ye have

5 And now I beseech thee, lady, | heard from the beginning, ye should walk in it.

7 For many deceivers are enwe had from the beginning, that we tered into the world, who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh. This is a deceiver and an antichrist.

> 4 1 Jn. 9.23. ð Jp.14.15.21. ¢ 1 Jn.4.1.

others were otherwise than correct in their moral conduct. If there had been improper conduct in any of her other children, John was too courteous, and too delicate in his feelings, to allude to so disagreeable a circumstance. But 'if that pious lady,' to use the language of Benson, 'had some wicked children, her lot was not peculiar. Her consolation was that she had some who were truly good. John commended those who were good, in order to excite them in the most agreeable manner to persevere.' ¶ As we have received a commandment from the Father. That is, as he has commanded us to live; in accordance with the truth which he has revealed. Father, in the Scripture, is everywhere represented as the source of law.

5. And now I beseech thee, lady. Dr. 'And now I entreat thee, Cyria' See Intro. § 2. If this was her proper name, there is no impropriety in supposing that he would address her in this familiar style. was probably then a very old man; the female to whom the epistle was addressed was doubtless much younger. ¶ Not as though I wrote a new commandment unto thee. John presumed that the command to love one another was understood as far as the gospel was known; and he might well presume it, for true Christianity never prevails anywhere without prompting to the observance of this law. See Notes, 1 Thess. iv. 9. ¶ But that which we had from the beginning. From the time when the gospel was first made known See Notes, 1 John ii. 7; iii. 11. That is, ¶ That we love one another. that there be among the disciples of Christ mutual love; or that in all circumstances and relations they should This love one another, John xv. 12, 17. disciples of the Saviour, John doubtless another abode. That, however, is not

means to say was as applicable to him and to the pious female to whom he wrote as to any others, and ought to be exercised by them towards all true Christians; and he exhorts her, as he did all Christians, to exercise it. It was a command on which, in his old age, he loved to dwell; and he had little more to say to her than this, to exhort her to obey this injunction of the Saviour.

6. And this is love, that we walk after his commandments. This is the proper expression or evidence of love to God. See Notes, John xiv. 15, 21. ¶ This is the commandment. That is. this is his great and peculiar commandment; the one by which his disciples are to be peculiarly characterized, and by which they are to be distinguished in the world. See Notes, John xiii. 34.

Org. This word for is not here to be regarded as connected with the previous verse, and as giving a reason why there should be the exercise of mutual love, but is rather to be understood as connected with the following verse, (8,) and as giving a reason for the caution there expressed: 'Because it is a truth that many deceivers have appeared, or since it has occurred that many such are abroad, look to yourselves lest you be betrayed and ruined.' fact that there were many such deceivers was a good reason for being constantly on their guard, lest they should be so far drawn away as not to receive a full reward. ¶ Many deceivers are entered into the world. Are abroad in the world, or have appeared among men. Several Mss. read here, 'have gone out into the world,' (lgnador,) instead of 'have entered into,' (signater.) The common reading is the correct one, and the other was originated, probably, from the unusual form of the expression, ' have come into general command, addressed to all the the world,' as if they had come from

b lose not those things which we a full reward.

a Mar.13.9.

b Phi.3.16; Rc.3.11.

necessarily implied, the language being such as would be properly used to denote the idea that there were such deceivers in the world. ¶ Who confess not that Jesus Christ is come in the flesh. maintain that he assumed the appearance only of a man, and was not really incarnate. See Notes, 1 John iv. 2, 3. This is a deceiver. Every one who maintains this is to be regarded as a deceiver. ¶ And an antichrist. See Notes, 1 John ii. 18; iv. 3.

8. Look to yourselves. This seems to be addressed to the lady to whom he The idea wrote, and to her children. is, that they should be particularly on their guard, and that their first care should be to secure their own hearts, so that they should not be exposed to the dangerous attacks of error. When error abounds in the world, our first duty is not to attack it and make war upon it; it is to look to the citadel of our own souls, and see that all is well guarded there. When an enemy invades a land, the first thing will not be to go out against him, regardless of our own strength, or of the security of our own fortresses, but it will be to see that our forts are well manned, and that we are secure there from his assaults. If that is so, we may then go forth with confidence to meet him on the open field. In relation to an error that is in the world, the first thing for a Christian to do is to take care of his own heart. ¶ That we lose not those things which Marg., 'Or, gained. we have wrought. Some copies read, which ye have gained, but that ye.' The reading here referred to in the margin is found in several Mss. and also in the Vulgate, Syriac, and Æthiopic versions It is not, however, adopted in the late critical editions of the New Testament, and the common reading is probably genuine. The sense is not materially varied, and the common reading is not unnatural. John was exhorting the family to whom this epistle was written to take good heed

8 Look a to yourselves, that we have wrought, but that we receive

1 Or, gained. Some copies read, which ye have gained, but that ye.

should be drawn away from the truth, and lose a part of the full reward which they might hope to receive in heaven. In doing this, nothing was more natural than that he, as a Christian friend. should group himself with them, and speak of himself as having the same need of caution, and express the feeling that he ought to strive also to obtain the full reward, thus showing that he was not disposed to address an exhortation to them which he was not willing to regard as applicable to himself. truth which is taught here is one of interest to all Christians—that it is possible for even genuine Christians, by suffering themselves to be led into error, or by failure in duty, to lose a part of the reward which they might have ob-The crown which they will wear in heaven will be less bright than that which they might have worn, and the throne which they will occupy will be less elevated. The rewards of heaven will be in accordance with the services rendered to the Redeemer; and it would not be right that they who turn aside, or falter in their course, should have the same exalted honours which they might have received if they had devoted themselves to God with ever-increasing fidelity. It is painful to think how many there are who begin the Christian career with burning zeal, as if they would strike for the highest rewards in heaven, but who soon waver in their course, and fall into some paralysing error, until at last they receive, perhaps, not half the reward which they might have obtained. ¶ But that we receive a full reward. Such as will be granted to a life uniformly consistent and faithful; all that God has to bestow on his people when *most* faithful and true. But who can estimate the 'full reward' of heaven, the unspeakable glory of those who make it the grand business of their lives to obtain all they can of its And who is there that does not feel that he *ought* to strive for a crown in to themselves while so many artful | which not one gem shall be wanting that errorists were around them, lest they | might have sparkled there for ever?

9 Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. He that abideth in the doctrine of Christ, he hath both the Father and the Son.

10 If bethere come any unto you, and bring not this doctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed:

a Jn.15.6.

b Ga.1.8,9.

9. Whosoever transgresseth, and abideth not in the doctrine of Christ, hath not God. In the doctrine which Christ taught, or the true doctrine respecting him. The language is somewhat ambiguous, like the phrase 'the love of Christ,' which may mean either his love to us, or our love to him. Comp. John xv. 9. It is difficult to determine here which is the true sense—whether it means the doctrine or precepts which he taught, or the true doctrine respecting him. Macknight understands by it the doctrine taught by Christ and his apos-It would seem most probable that this is the sense of the passage, but then it would include, of course, all that Christ taught respecting himself, as well as his other instructions. The essential idea is, that the truth must be held respecting the precepts, the character, and the work of the Saviour. Probably the immediate allusion here is to those to whom John so frequently referred as 'antichrist,' who denied that Jesus had come in the flesh, ver. 7. At the same time, however, he makes the remark general, that if any one did not hold the true doctrine respecting the Saviour, he had no real knowledge of God. John i. 18; v. 23; xv. 23; xvii. 3; 1 John ii. 23. ¶ Hath not God. Has no true knowledge of God. The truth taught here is, that it is essential to piety to hold the true doctrine respect-¶ He that abideth in the ing Christ. doctrine of Christ. In the true doctrine respecting Christ, or in the doctrine I He hath both the which he taught. There is such an Father and the Son. intimate union between the Father and the Son, that he who has just views of the one has also of the other. Comp. Notes on John xiv. 7, 9, 10, 11, and 1 John ii. 28.

10. If there come any unto you. Any professed teacher of religion. There can be no doubt that she to whom this epistle was written was accustomed to entertain such teachers.

And bring not this us, but it would apply to the receiving

doctrine. This doctrine which Christ taught, or the true doctrine respecting him and his religion. ¶ Receive him not into your house. This cannot mean that no acts of kindness, in any circumstances, were to be shown to such persons; but that there was to be nothing done which could be fairly construed as encouraging or countenancing them as religious teachers. The true rule would seem to be, in regard to such persons, that, so far as we have intercourse with them as neighbours, or strangers, we are to be honest, true, kind, and just, but we are to do nothing that will countenance them as religious teachers. are not to attend on their instruction, (Prov. xix. 27;) we are not to receive them into our houses, or to entertain them as religious teachers; we are not to commend them to others, or to give them any reason to use our names or influence in propagating error. It would not be difficult to practise this rule, and yet to show to others all the kindness, and all the attention in circumstances of want, which religion demands. man who is truly consistent is never suspected of countenancing error, even when he is distinguished for liberality, and is ready, like the good Samaritan, to pour in oil and wine in the wounds of any waylaid traveller. The command not to 'receive such an one into the house,' in such circumstances as those referred to by John, would be probably understood literally, as he doubtless designed that it should be. To do that, to meet such persons with a friendly greeting, would be construed as countenancing their doctrine, and as commending them to others; and hence it was forbidden that they should be enter-This treatment would tained as such. not be demanded where no such interpretation could be put on receiving a friend or relative who held different and even erroneous views, or in showing kindness to a stranger who differed from

speed, is partaker a of his evil deeds.

12 Having many things to write unto you, I would not write with a 1 Ti 5.22.

and entertaining a professed teacher of religion, as such; and the rule is as applicable now as it was then. ¶ Neither bid him God speed. Καὶ χαίριν αὐτῷ un liver-'and do not say to him, hail, or joy.' Do not wish him joy; do not hail. or salute him. The word used expresses the common form of salutation, as when we wish one health, success, prosperity. Matt. xxvi. 49: Acts xv. 23; xxiii. 26; James i. 1. It would be understood as expressing a wish for success in the enterprise in which they were embarked: and though we should love all men, and desire their welfare. and sincerely seek their happiness, yet we can properly wish no one success in a career of sin and error.

11. For he that biddeth him God speed, is partaker of his evil deeds. Shows that he countenances and approves of the doctrine which is taught.

Comp. Notes, 1 Tim. v. 22.

12. Having many things to write unto That I would wish to say. This language is such as would be used by one who was hurried, or who was in feeble health, or who hoped soon to see the person written to. In such a case only the points would be selected which were of most immediate and pressing importance, and the remainder would be reserved for a more free personal interview. ¶ I would not write with paper. The word paper here conveys an idea which is not strictly correct. Paper, as that term is now understood, was not invented until long after this period. The material designated by the word used by John (χάρτης) was the Egyptian papyrus, and the particular thing denoted was a leaf made out of that The sheets were made from membranes of the plant closely pressed together. This plant was found also in Syria and Babylon, but it was produced in greater abundance in Egypt, and that was the plant which was commonly used. It was so comparatively cheap, that it

11 For he that biddeth him God paper and ink; but I trust to come unto you, and speak 1 face to face. that 2 our joy may be full.

13 The children of thy elect sister

greet thee. Amen.

1 mouth to mouth. 2 Or. woter. 6 1 Jp.1.4.

materials for writing—plates of lead, or stone, or the skins of animals. It is probable that the books of the New Testament were written on this species of paper. Comp. Hug. Intro. chap. iii., § 11. And ink. The ink which was commonly employed in writing was made of soot and water, with a mixture of some species of gum to give it consistency and durability.—Lücke. The instrument or pen was made of a reed. ¶ But I trust to come unto you, and speak face to face. Marg., as in Greek, mouth to mouth. The phrase is a common one, to denote conversation with any one, especially free and confidential conversation. Comp. Numb. xii. 8; Jer. ¶ That our joy may be full. xxxii. 4. Marg., your. The marginal reading has arisen from a variation in the Greek The word our is best sustained, copies. and accords best with the connection. John would be likely to express the hope that he would find pleasure from such an interview. See Notes. 1 John i. 4. Comp. Rom. i. 11, 12.

13. The children of thy elect sister greet thee. Of this 'elect sister' nothing more is known. It would seem probable. from the fact that she is not mentioned as sending her salutations, that she was either dead, or that she was absent. John mentions her, however, as a Christian—as one of the elect or chosen of

God.

REMARKS ON THIS EPISTLE.

In view of the exposition of this epistle we may make the following remarks :-

(1.) It is desirable for a family to have a character for piety so consistent and well understood that all who know it shall perceive it and love it, ver. 1. In the case of this lady and her household, it would seem that, as far as they were known, they were known as a well-ordered Christian household. Such a family John said he loved; and he in a great measure superseded the earlier said that it was loved by all who had

lovely to the view than such a house-What is vetter fitted to make an impression on the world favourable

to religion?

(2.) It is a matter of great rejoicing when any part of a family become truly religious, ver. 4. We should rejoice with our friends, and should render unfeigned thanks to God, if any of their children are converted, and walk in the truth. No greater blessing can descend on a family than the early conversion of children; and as angels rejoice over one sinner that is converted, we should rejoice when the children of our friends are brought to a knowledge of the truth, and devote themselves to God in early life.

(3.) It is our duty to be on our guard against the arts of the teachers of error, They abound in every age. ver. 7. They are often learned, eloquent, and They study and understand orofound. They adapt the arts of persuasion. their instructions to the capacity of those whom they would lead astray. They flatter their vanity; accommodate themselves to their peculiar views and tastes; court their society, and seek to share their friendship. They often appear to be eminently meek, and serious, and devout, and prayerful, for they know that no others can succeed who profess to inculcate the principles of re-There are few arts more profound than that of leading men into error; few that are studied more, or with greater success. Every Christian, therefore, should be on his guard against such arts; and while he should on all subjects be open to conviction, and be ready to yield his own opinions when convinced that they are wrong, yet he should yield to truth, not to men; to argument, not to the influence of the personal character of the professed religious teacher.

(4.) We may see that it is possible for us to lose a portion of the reward which we might enjoy in heaven, ver. 8. The rewards of heaven will be apportioned to our character, and to our services in the cause of religion in this life, and they who 'sow sparingly shall reap also sparingly.' Christians often begin their course with great zeal, and to the favourable regards of our fellow-

any knowledge of them. What is more | as if they were determined to reap the highest rewards of the heavenly world If they should persevere in the course which they have commenced, they would indeed shine as the stars in the firmament. But, alas! their zeal soon dies away. They relax their efforts, and lose their watchfulness. They engage in some pursuit that absorbs their time, and interferes with their habits of devo-They connive at error and sin; begin to love the comforts of this life; seek the honours or the riches of this world; and though they may be saved at last, yet they lose half their reward. It should be a fixed purpose with all Christians, and especially with such as are just entering on the Christian life, to wear in heaven a crown as bright and studded with as many jewels as can

possibly be obtained.

(5.) We may learn from this epistle how to regard and treat the teachers of error, ver. 10. We are not to do anything that can be fairly construed as contenancing their doctrines. simple rule would guide us to a course that is right. We are to have minds open to conviction. We are to love the truth, and be ever ready to follow it. We are not to be prejudiced against anything. We are to treat all men with kindness; to be true, and just, and faithful in our intercourse with all; to be hospitable, and ever ready to do good to all who are needy, whatever their name, colour, rank, or opinions. We are not to cut the ties which bind us to our friends and kindred, though they embrace opinions which we deem erroneous or dangerous; but we are in no way to become the patrons of error, or to leave the impression that we are indifferent as to what is believed. The friends of truth and piety we should receive cordially to our dwellings, and should account ourselves honoured by their presence, (Ps. ci. 6, 7;) strangers we should not forget to entertain, for thereby we may entertain angels unawares, (Heb. xiii. 2;) but the open advocate of what we regard as dangerous error, we are *not* to receive in any such sense or way as to have our treatment of him fairly construed as patronising his errors, or commending him as a teacher

men. names, our money, our personal friendship, are we to give him increased facilities for spreading pernicious error As men, as fellowthrough the world. sufferers, as citizens, as neighbours, as the friends of temperance, of the prisoner, of the widow, the orphan, and the slave, and as the patrons of learning, we may be united in promoting objects dear to our hearts, but as religious teachers we are to show them no countenance, not so much as would be implied in the common form of salutation wishing them success. In all this there is no breach of charity, and no want of more than we are the persons of men. | error, whoever may advocate it.

Neither by our influence, our | To the man himself we should be ever ready to do good. Him we should never injure in any way, in his person, property, or feelings. We should never attempt to deprive him of the right of cherishing his own opinions, and of spreading them in his own way, answerable, not to us, but to God. We should impose no pains or penalties on him for the opinions which he holds. But we should do nothing to give him increased power to propagate them, and should never place ourselves by any alliance of friendship, family, or business, in such a position that we shall not be perfectly free to maintain our own sentiments, true love, for we are to love the truth and to oppose what we deem to be

THIRD EPISTLE OF JOHN

THE elder unto the well-beloved : truth.

2 Beloved, I 2 wish above all Gaius, whom I love 1 in the things that thou mayest prosper 2 Or, pray. 1 Or. truly.

ANALYSIS OF THE EPISTLE.

Tms brief epistle, written to a Christian whose name was Gaius, of whom nothing more is known, (comp. Notes on ver. 1,) and in respect to which the time and place of writing it are equally unknown, embraces the following subjects: I. The address, with an expression of tender attachment, and an earnest wish for his welfare and happiness, vers. 1, 2. II. A commendation of his character and doings, as the writer had learned it from some brethren who had visited him particularly; (a) for his attachment to the truth, and (b) for his kindness shown to the members of his own church, and to strangers who had gone forth to some work of charity, vers. III. The writer then adverts to 3-8. the fact that he had written on this subject to the church, commending these strangers to their attention, but that Diotrephes would not acknowledge his authority, or receive those whom he introduced to them. This conduct, he said, demanded rebuke; and he says that when he himself came, he would take proper measures to assert his own authority, and show to him and to the church the duty of receiving Christian brethren commended to them from abroad, vers. 9, 10. IV. He exhorts Gaius to persevere in that which was good-in a life of love and kindness, in an imitation of the benevolent God, ver. 11. V. Of another person-Demetrius-who, it would seem, had been associated with Gaius in the honourable course which he had pursued, in opposition to what the church had done, he also speaks in terms of commendation,

and says that the same honourable testimony had been borne of him which had been of Gaius, ver. 12. VI. As in the second epistle, he says, in the close, that there were many things which he would be glad to say to him, but there were reasons why they should not be set down 'with ink and pen,' but he hoped soon to confer with him freely on those subjects face to face, and the epistle is closed by kind salutations, vers. 13, 14.

The occasion on which the epistle was written is no farther known than appears from the epistle itself. From this, the following facts are all that can now be ascertained: (1.) That Gaius was a Christian man, and evidently a member of the church, but of what church is unknown. (2.) That there were certain persons known to the writer of the epistle, and who either lived where he did, or who had been commended to him by others, who proposed to travel to the place where Gaius Their particular object is not lived. known, further than that it is said (ver. 7) that they 'went for his name's sake; that is, in the cause of religion. further appears that they had resolved not to be dependent on the heathen for their support, but wished the favour and friendship of the church—perhaps designing to preach to the heathen, and yet apprehending that if they desired their maintenance from them, it would be charged on them that they were (3.) In these mercenary in their ends. circumstances, and with this view, the author of this epistle wrote to the church, commending these brethren to their kind and fraternal regards. (4.) and be in health, even as thy soul prospereth.

This recommendation, so far as appears, would have been successful, had it not been for one man, Diotrephes, who had so much influence, and who made such violent opposition, that the church refused to receive them, and they became dependent on private charity. ground of the opposition of Diotrephes is not fully stated, but it seems to have arisen from two sources: (a) a desire to rule in the church; and (b) a particular opposition to the writer of this epistle, and a denial of any obligation to recognise his instructions or commendations as binding. The idea seems to have been that the church was entirely independent, and might receive or reject any whom it pleased, though they were commended to them by an apostle. (5.) In these circumstances, Gaius, as an individual, and against the action of the church, received and hospitably entertained these strangers, and aided them in the prosecution of their work. this office of hospitality another member of the church, Demetrius, also shared; and to commend them for this work, particularly Gaius, at whose house probably they were entertained, is the design of this epistle. (6.) After having returned to the writer of this epistle, who had formerly commended them to the church, and having borne honourable testimony to the hospitality of Gaius, it would seem that they resolved to repeat their journey for the same purpose, and that the writer of the epistle commended them now to the renewed hospitality of Gaius. On this occasion, probably, they bore this epistle to him. See Notes on vers. 6, 7. Diotrephes nothing more is known than is here specified. Erasmus and Bede supposed that he was the author of a new sect; but of this there is no evidence, and if he had been, it is probable that John would have cautioned Gaius against his influence. Many have supposed that he was a bishop or pastor in the church where he resided; but there is no evidence of this, and as John wrote to 'the church,' commending the strangers to them, this would seem to be at was probably the last mentioned—hardly probable. Comp. Rev. ii. 1, 8, Gaius of Derbe. There is no objection.

posed that he was a deacon, and had charge of the funds of the church, and that he refused to furnish to these strangers the aid out of the public treasury which they needed, and that by so doing he hindered them in the prosecution of their object. But all this is mere conjecture, and it is now impossible to ascertain what office he held, if he held any. That he was a man of influence is apparent; that he was proud, ambitious, and desirous of ruling, is equally clear; and that he prevailed on the church not to receive the strangers commended to them by the apostle is equally manifest. Of the rank and standing of Demetrius nothing more is known. Benson supposes that he was the bearer of this letter, and that he had gone with the brethren referred to to preach to the Gentiles. But it seems more probable that he was a member of the church to which Gaius belonged, and that he had concurred with him in rendering aid to the strangers who had been rejected by the influence of Diotrephes. If he had gone with these strangers, and had carried this letter, it would have been noticed, and it would have been in accordance with the apostolic custom, that he should have been commended to the favourable attentions of Gaius. In regard to the authenticity and the canonical authority of this epistle, see the Introduction at the beginning of the second epistle.

1. The elder. See Notes on the Second Epistle, ver. 1. ¶ Unto the well-beloved Gaius. Three persons of this name are elsewhere mentioned in the New Testament-Gaius, whom Paul in Rom. xvi. 23 calls 'his host,' and whom he says (1 Cor. i. 15) he baptized, residing at Corinth, (see Notes, Rom. xvi. 23;) Gaius of Macedonia, one of Paul's companions in travel, who was arrested by an excited mob at Ephesus, (Acts xix. 29;) and Gaius of Derbe, who went with Paul and Timothy into Asia, Acts xx. Whether either of these persons is referred to here, cannot with certainty be determined. If it were any of them 12, 18; iii. 1, 7, 14. Others have sup- to the supposition that he was the one

brethren came and testified of the walkest ain the truth.

3 For I rejoiced greatly when the truth that is in thee, even as thou

unless it be from the fact that this epistle was probably written many years after the transaction mentioned in Acts xx. 4, and the probability that Gaius might not have lived so long. name was not an uncommon one, and it cannot be determined now who he was, or where he lived. Whether he had any office in the church is unknown, but he seems to have been a man of wealth and influence. The word translated 'well-beloved,' means simply beloved. It shows that he was a personal friend of the writer of this epistle. ¶ Whom I love in the truth. Marg., or truly.' See Notes on the Second

Epistle, ver. 1. 2. Beloved, I wish above all things. Marg., pray. The word used here commonly means in the New Testament to pray; but it is also employed to express a strong and earnest desire for anything. Acts xxvii. 29; Rom. ix. 3; 2 Cor. xiii. 9. This is probably all that is implied here. The phrase rendered 'above all things'- Tepi Tarray-Would be more correctly rendered here 'concerning, or in respect to all things;' and the idea is, that John wished earnestly that in all respects he might have the same kind of prosperity which his soul The common translation 'above all things' would seem to mean that John valued health and outward prosperity more than he did anything else; that he wished that more than his usefulness or salvation. This cannot be the meaning, and is not demanded by the proper interpretation of the original. See this shown by Lücke, in loc. The sense is, 'In every respect, I wish that it may go as well with you as it does with your soul; that in your worldly prosperity, your comfort, and your bodily health, you may be as prosperous as you are in your religion.' This is the reverse of the wish which we are commonly constrained to express for our friends; for such is usually the comparative want of prosperity and advance-

as much as they do in others. ¶ That thou mayest prosper. เขือชื่อขือของ. This word occurs in the New Testament only in the following places: Rom. i. 10, rendered have a prosperous journey; 1 Cor. xvi. 2, rendered hath prospered; and in the passage before us. It means, properly, to lead in a good way; to prosper one's journey; and then to make prosperous; to give success to; to be prospered. It would apply here to any plan or purpose entertained. It would include success in business, happiness in domestic relations, or prosperity in any of the engagements and transactions in which a Christian might lawfully engage. It shows that it is right to wish that our friends may have success in the works of their hands and their plans of life. ¶ And be in health. enjoy bodily health. It is not necessary to suppose, in order to a correct interpretation of this, that Gaius was at that time suffering from bodily indisposition, though perhaps it is most natural to suppose that, as John makes the wish for his health so prominent. But it is common, in all circumstances, to wish for the health and prosperity of our friends; and it is as proper as it is common, if we do not give that a degree of prominence above the welfare of the ¶ Even as thy soul prospereth. soul. John had learned, it would seem, from the 'brethren' who had come to him, (ver. 3,) that Gaius was living as became a Christian; that he was advancing in the knowledge of the truth, and was exemplary in the duties of the Christian life; and he prays that in all other respects he might be prospered as much as he was in that. It is not very common that a man is more prospered in his spiritual interests than he is in his other interests, or that we can, in our wishes for the welfare of our friends, make the prosperity of the soul, and the practice and enjoyment of religion, the standard of our wishes in regard to other things. It argues a high state of piety when we ment in their spiritual interests, that it can, as the expression of our highest is an expression of benevolence to desire | desire | for the welfare of our friends, that they might prosper in that respect express the hope that they may be in hear that my children walk in truth.

5 Beloved, thou doest faithfully

all respects as much prospered as they are in their spiritual concerns.

3. For I rejoiced greatly when the brethren came. Who these were is not certainly known. They may have been members of the same church with Gaius. who, for some reason, had visited the writer of this epistle; or they may have been the 'brethren' who had gone from him with a letter of commendation to the church, (ver. 9,) and had been rejected by the church through the influence of Diotrephes, and who, after having been hospitably entertained by Gaius, had again returned to the writer of this epistle. In that case, they would of course bear honourable testimony to the kindness which they had received from Gaius, and to his Christian character. ¶ And testified of the truth that is in That you adhere steadfastly to the truth, notwithstanding the fact that errors abound, and that there are many false teachers in the world. ¶ Even as thou walkest in the truth. Livest in The writer accordance with the truth. had made the same remark of the children of Cyria, to whom the second epistle was directed. See Notes on ver. 4 of that epistle.

4. I have no greater joy than to hear that my children walk in truth. they adhere steadfastly to the truth, and that they live in accordance with This is such language as would be used by an aged apostle when speaking of those who had been converted by his instrumentality, and who looked up to him as a father; and we may, therefore, infer that Gaius had been converted under the ministry of John, and that he was probably a much younger man than he was. John, the aged apostle, says that he had no higher happiness than to learn, respecting those who regarded him as their spiritual father, that they were steadfast in their adherence to the doctrines The same thing may be of religion. said now (a) of all the ministers of the gospel, that their highest comfort is found in the fact that those to whom

4 I have no greater joy athan to | b whatsoever thou doest to the brethren, and to strangers:

a Pr.23,24.

care or removed from them, persevere in a steadfast attachment to the true doctrines of religion, and live accordingly; and (b) of all Christian parents respecting their own children. highest joy that a Christian parent can have is to know that his children, whether at home or abroad, adhere to the truths of religion, and live in accordance with the requirements of the gospel of Christ. If a child wished to confer the highest possible happiness on his parents when with them, it would be by becoming a decided Christian; if, when abroad, in foreign lands or his own, he wished to convey intelligence to them that would most thrill their hearts with joy, it would be to announce to them that he had given his heart to God. There is no joy in a family like that when children are converted; there is no news that comes from abroad that diffuses so much happiness through the domestic circle as the intelligence that a child is truly converted to the Saviour. There is nothing that would give more peace to the dying pillow of the Christian parent, than to be able to leave the world with the assurance that his children would always walk in truth.

5. Beloved, thou doest faithfully. In the previous verses the writer had commended Gaius for his attachment to truth, and his general correctness in his Christian life. He now speaks more particularly of his acts of generous hospitality, and says that he had fully, in that respect, done his duty as a Christian. \(\) Whatsoever thou doest. In all your intercourse with them, and in all your conduct towards them. The particular thing which led to this remark was his hospitality; but the testimony respecting his general conduct had been such as to justify this commendation. ¶ To the brethren. Probably to Christians who were well known to him-perhaps referring to Christians in his own church. ¶ And to strangers. Such as had gone to the church of which he was a member with they minister, whether still under their a letter of commendation from John.

thy charity before the church: whom | thing of the Gentiles. if thou bring forward on their journey lafter a godly sort, thou shalt

7 Because that for his name's

Compare Notes on Rom. xii. 13, and

Heb. xiii. 2. 6. Which have borne witness of thy charity before the church. It would seem that they had returned to John, and borne honourable testimony to the love manifested to them by Gaius. Before what church they had borne this testimony is unknown. Perhaps it was the church in Ephesus. ¶ Whom if thou bring forward on their journey. εθε προπίμψας. 'Whom bringing forward, or having brought forward.' The word refers to aid rendered them in their journey, in facilitating their travels, either by personally accompanying them, by furnishing them the means of prosecuting their journey, or by hospitably entertaining them. Probably Gaius aided them in every way in which it was practicable. It has been made a question whether this refers to the fact that he had thus aided them in some visit which they had made to the church where Gaius was, or to a visit which they purposed to make. The Greek would seem to favour the latter construction, and yet it would appear from the epistle, that the 'brethren and strangers 'actually had been with him; that they had been rejected by the church through the influence of Diotrephes, and had been thrown upon the hospitality of Gaius, and that they had | returned, and had borne honourable testimony to his hospitality. These views can be reconciled by supposing, as Lücke does, that having been once on their travels, and having shared the hospitality of Gaius, they were purposing to visit that region again, and that John, praising him for his former hospitality, commends them again to him, stating the reason (vers. 9, 10) care of the church.

6 Which have borne witness of | sake they went forth, taking b no-

8 We therefore ought to receive such, that we might be fellowhelpers to the truth.

1 worthy of God. 5 1 Co.9.15.18.

they had now equal claims to the hospitality of the friends of religion.

After a godly sort. Margin, as in Greek, worthy of God. The meaning is, As becomes those who serve God; or as becomes those who are professors of his religion. ¶ Thou shalt do well. You will do that which religion requires in these circumstances.

 Because that for his name's sake. The word 'his' here refers to God; and the idea is, that they had undertaken this journey not on their own account, but in the cause of religion. ¶ They went forth. Or, they have gone forth-Ignator-referring to the journey which they had then undertaken; not to the former one. ¶ Taking nothing The term Gentile of the Gentiles. embraced all who were not Jews, and it is evident that these persons went forth particularly to labour among the heathen. When they went, they resolved, it seems, to receive no part of their support from them, but to depend on the aid of their Christian brethren. and hence they were at first commended to the church of which Gaius and Diotrephes were members, and on this second excursion were commended particularly to Gaius. Why they resolved to take nothing of the Gentiles is not stated, but it was doubtless from prudential considerations, lest it should hinder their success among them, and expose them to the charge of being actuated by a mercenary spirit. There were circumstances in the early propagation of Christianity which made it proper, in order to avoid this reproach, to preach the gospel 'without charge, though the doctrine is everywhere laid down in the Bible that it is the duty of those to whom it is preached to contriwhy he did not, in accordance with the | bute to its maintenance, and that it is usual custom, recommend them to the the right of those who preach to expect They had now and receive a support. On this subgone out (ver. 7) on the same errand ject, see Notes on 1 Cor. ix., particuon which they had formerly gone, and larly vers. 15, 18. Diotrephes, who loveth to have the eth us not.

9 I wrote unto the church: but | pre-eminence among them, receiv-

a Mat.23.4-8; 1 Ti.6.8,4

8. We therefore ought to receive such. All of us ought hospitably to entertain and aid such persons. The work in which they are engaged is one of pure benevolence. They have no selfish aims and ends in it. They do not even look for the supplies of their wants among the people to whom they go to minister; and we ought, therefore, to aid them in their work, and to contribute to their support. The apostle doubtless meant to urge this duty particularly on Gaius; but in order to show that he recognised the obligation himself, he uses the term 'we,' and speaks of it as a duty binding on all Christians. ¶ That we might be fellow-helpers to the truth. All Christians cannot go forth to preach the gospel, but all may contribute something to the support of those who do; and in this case they would have a joint participation in the work of spreading The same reasoning which the truth. was applicable to that case, is also applicable now in regard to the duty of supporting those who go forth to preach the gospel to the destitute.

9. I wrote unto the church. That is. on the former occasion when they went At that time, John naturally commended them to the kind attentions of the church, not doubting but that aid would be rendered them in prosecuting their benevolent work among the Gentiles. The epistle which was written on that occasion is now lost, and its contents cannot now be ascertained. It was, probably, however, a letter of mere commendation, perhaps stating the object which these brethren had in view, and soliciting the aid of the church. The Latin Vulgate renders this, scripsissem forsan ecclesia, 'I would have written, perhaps, to the church, but Diotrephes, &c. Macknight also renders this, 'I would have written,' supposing the sense to be, that John would have commended them to the whole church rather than to a private member, if he had not been aware of the influence and opposition of Diotrephes. The Syriac version also adopts the same rendering. Several manuscripts also,

of later date, introduced a particle, (2,) by which the same rendering would be demanded in the Greek, though that reading is not sustained by good autho-Against this mode of rendering the passage, the reasons seem to me to be clear. (1.) As already remarked, the reading in the Greek which would require it is not sustained by good authority. (2.) The fair and obvious interpretation of the Greek word used by the apostle, (ἔγραψα.) without that particle, is, I wrote—implying that it had been already done. (3.) It is more probable that John had written to the church on some former occasion, and that his recommendation had been rejected by the influence of Diotrephes, than that he would be deterred by the apprehension that his recommendation would be rejected. It seems to me, therefore, that the fair interpretation of this passage is, that these brethren had gone forth on some former occasion, commended by John to the church, and had been rejected by the influence of Diotrephes, and that now he commends them to Gaius, by whom they had been formerly entertained, and asks him to renew his hospitality to them. Diotrephes, who loveth to have the preeminence among them, receiveth us not. Does not admit our authority, or would not comply with any such recommenda-The idea is, that he rejected his tion. interference in the matter, and was not disposed to acknowledge him in any Of Diotrephes, nothing more is known than is here specified. Compare the analysis of the epistle. Whether he were an officer in the church—a pastor, a ruling elder, a deacon, a vestry-man, a warden, or a private individual-we have no means of ascertaining. The presumption, from the phrase 'who loveth to have the pre-eminence, would rather seem to be that he was an aspiring man, arrogating rights which he had not, and assuming authority to which he was not entitled by virtue of any office. Still he might have held an office, and might have arrogated authority, as many have done, beyond

remember his deeds which he doeth, prating against us with malicious words: and not content therewith,

a Pr.10.8.10. what properly belonged to it. The single word rendered 'who loveth to have the pre-eminence,' (φιλοπρωσεύων,) occurs nowhere else in the New Testament. It means simply, who loves to be firstmeaning that he loved to be at the head of all things, to rule, to lord it over It is clearly supposed here, others. that the church would have complied with the request of the writer if it had not been for this man. What were the alleged grounds for the course which he constrained the church to take, we are not informed; the real ground, the apostle says, was his desire to rule. There may have been at the bottom of it some secret dislike of John, or some private grudge; but the alleged ground may have been, that the church was independent, and that it should reject all foreign interference; or that the church was unable to support those men; or that the work in which they were engaged was one of doubtful propriety. Whatever was the cause, the case furnishes an illustration of the bad influence of one ambitious and arrogant man in a church. It is often in the power of one such man to bring a whole church under his control, and effectually to embarrass all its movements, and to prevent all the good which it would otherwise accomplish. When it is said, 'but Diotrephes receiveth us not,' the reference is doubtless to John, and the meaning is, either that he did not acknowledge him as an apostle, or that he did not recognize his right to interfere in the affairs of the church, or that he did not regard his recommendation of these brethren. The first of these suppositions is hardly probable; but, though he may have admitted that he was an apostle, there were perhaps some reasons operating in this particular case why he prevailed on the church to reject those who had been thus commended to their

10. Wherefore, if I come. He was

hospitality.

10 Wherefore, if I come, I will neither doth he himself receive the brethren, and forbiddeth them that would, and casteth them out b of the church.

ð 1s.66.5.

¶ I will remember his decds which he doeth. That is, he would punish his arrogance and presumption; would take measures that he should be dealt with in a proper manner. There is no evidence whatever that this is said in a vindictive or revengeful spirit, or that the writer spoke of it merely as a personal matter. From anything that can be shown to the contrary, if it had been a private and personal affair merely, the matter might have been dropped, and never referred to again. But what had been done was public. It pertained to the authority of the apostle, the duty of the church, and the character of the brethren who had been commended to them. If the letter was written, as is supposed by the aged John, and his authority had been utterly rejected by the influence of this one man, then it was proper that that authority should be asserted. If it was the duty of the church to have received these men, who had been thus recommended to them, and it had been prevented from doing what it would otherwise have done, by the influence of one man, then it was proper that the influence of that man should be restrained, and that the church should see that he was not to control it. If the feelings and the character of these brethren had been injured by being rudely thrust out of the church, and held up as unworthy of public confidence, then it was proper that their character should be vindicated, and that the author of the wrong should be dealt with in a suitable manner. No one can show that this was not all that the apostle proposed to do, or that any feelings of private vindictiveness entered into his purpose to 'remember' what Diotrephes had done; and the existence of any such feelings should not be charged on the apostle without proof. There is no more reason to suppose this in his case than there was in the case of Paul, in administering discipline in the church of evidently expecting soon to make a visit | Corinth, (1 Cor. v. 3-5,) or than there to Gaius, and to the church. ver 14. is in any instance of administering dis-

11 Beloved, follow a not that which is evil, but that which is good. He of all men, and of the truth itself: that doeth good is of God: but he that doeth evil hath not seen God.

a Ps.37.27.

cipline now. ¶ Prating against us. The word prate, (plumpia,) occurring nowhere else in the New Testament, means to 'overflow with talk,' (Gr. oxio, Lat. fluo, flow;) to talk much without weight, or to little purpose; to be loquacious; to trifle; or, to use an expression common among us, and which accords well with the Greek, to run on in talk, without connection or sense. The word does not properly imply that there was malignity or ill-feeling in what was said, but that the talk was of an idle, foolish, and unprofitable character. As John here, however, specifies that there was a bad spirit in the manner in which Diotrephes expressed himself, the real thing which is implied in the use of the word here is, that there were much talk of that kind; that he was addicted to this habit of running on against the apostle; and that he was thus constantly undermining his influence, and injuring his character. \(\) With malicious words. Gr., 'evil words;' words that were fitted to do injury. ¶ And not content therewith. Not satisfied with venting his private feelings in talk. Some persons seem to be satisfied with merely talking against others, and take no other measures to injure them; but Diotrephes was not. He himself rejected the brethren, and persuaded the church to do the same thing. Bad as evil talking is, and troublesome as a man may be who is always 'prating' about matters that do not go according to his mind, yet it would be comparatively well if things always ended with that, and if the loquacious and the dissatisfied never took measures openly to wrong others. Neither doth he himself receive the Does not himself treat them as Christian brethren, or with the hospitality which is due to them. He had not done it on the former visit, and John evidently supposed that the same thing would occur again. ¶ And forbiddeth them that would. From this it is clear that there were those in the church who

12 Demetrius hath good report yea, and we also bear record: and ye know that our record is true.

6 1 Jn.8.6-9.

manner; and from anything that appears, the church, as such, would have been inclined to do it, if it had not been for the influence of this one man. \P And casteth them out of the church. Comp. Luke vi. 22. It has been made a question whether the reference here is to the members of the church who were disposed to receive these brethren, or to the brethren themselves. Lücke, Macknight, and some others, suppose that it refers to those in the church who were willing to receive them, and whom Diotrephes had excommunicated on that account. Heumann, Carpzoviius, Rosenmüller, Bloomfield, and others, suppose that it refers to these strangers, and that the meaning is, that Diotrephes would not receive them into the society of Christians, and thus compelled them to go to another place. That this latter is the correct interpretation seems to me to be evident, for it was of the treatment which they had received that the apostle was speaking.

11. Beloved, follow not that which is evil, but that which is good. There can be no doubt that in this exhortation the writer had Diotrephes particularly in his eye, and that he means to exhort Gaius not to imitate his example. was a man of influence in the church, and though Gaius had shown that he was disposed to act in an independent manner, yet it was not improper to exhort him not to be influenced by the example of any one who did wrong. John wished to excite him to acts of liberal and generous hospitality. ¶ He that doeth good is of God. He shows that he resembles God, for God continually does good. See the sentiment explained in the Notes on 1 John iii. 7. \P He that doeth evil hath not seen God. See Notes, 1 John iii. 8-10

12. Demetrius hath good report of all Little is known of Demetrius. Lücke supposes that he resided near the place where the author of this epistle lived, and was connected with the church were disposed to receive them in a proper there, and was probably the bearer of

but I will not with ink and pen write | face. Peace be to thee. Our friends unto thee:

14 But I trust I shall shortly see

13 I had many things to write, thee, and we shall speak 1 face to salute thee. Greet the friends by name.

1 mouth to mouth.

this epistle. It is impossible to determine with certainty on this point, but there is one circumstance which seems to make it probable that he was a member of the same church with Gaius, and had united with him in showing Christian hospitality to these strangers. It is the use of the phrase ' hath good report of all,' implying that some testimony was borne to his character beyond what the writer personally knew. It is possible, indeed, that the writer would have used this term respecting him if he lived in the same place with himself, as expressing the fact that he bore a good character, but it is a phrase which would be more appropriately used if we suppose that he was a member of the same church with Gaius, and that John means to say than an honourable testimony was borne of his character by all those brethren, and by all others as far as he knew. ¶ And of the truth itself. Not only by men, who might possibly be deceived in the estimate of character, but by fact. It was not merely a reputation founded on what appeared in his conduct, but in truth and reality. His deportment, his life, his deeds of benevolence, all concurred with the testimony which was borne by men to the excel-There is, perlency of his character. haps, particular reference here to his kind and hospitable treatment of those brethren. ¶ Yea, and we also bear record. John himself had personally known him. He had evidently visited the place where he resided on some former occasion, and could now add his own testimony, which no one would call in question, to his excellent character. ¶ And ye know that our record is true. This is in the manner of John, who always spoke of himself as having such a character for truth that no one who knew him would call it in question. Every Christian should have such a character; every man might if he would. Comp. Notes, John xix. 35; xxi. 24.

with a statement that he had many things to say, but that he preferred waiting till he should see him rather than put them on paper. there were some things which he wished to say which he would not like to have exposed to the possibility of being seen by the public eye. ¶ But I will not with ink and pen, &c. Notes on the

Second Epistle, ver. 12.

14. But I trust I shall shortly see thee, &c. Notes on the Second Epistle, ¶ Our friends salute thee. ver. 12. That is, your friends and mine. This would seem rather to refer to private friends of John and Gaius than to Christians as such. They had, doubtless, their warm personal friends in both places. ¶ Greet the friends by name. That is, each one individually. He remembered them as individuals, but did not deem it proper to specify them.

PRACTICAL REMARKS ON THE EPISTLE.

(1.) It is proper to desire for our friends all temporal good; to wish their happiness in every respect, ver. 2. The welfare of the soul is indeed the great object, and the first desire in regard to a friend should be that his salvation may be secured; but in connection with that we may properly wish them health of body, and success in their lawful undertakings. It is not common that in their spiritual interests they are so much more prosperous than they are in other respects, that we can make that the standard of our wishes in regard to them, but it sometimes does occur, as in the case of Gaius. In such cases we may indeed rejoice with a friend, and feel that all will be well with him. But in how few cases, even among professed Christians, can we with propriety make the prosperity of the soul the standard by which to measure the happiness which we desire for them in other respects! Doddridge says, 'What a curse would this bring upon many to wish 13. I had many things to write, &c. that they might prosper even as their This epistle closes, as the second does, souls prospered! Of how much pro-

perty would they at once be deprived; how embarrassed would be their affairs; how pale, and wan, and sickly would they be, if they should be in all respects as they are in their spiritual interests!

(2.) It is an unspeakable pleasure to a Christian to learn that his friends are living and acting as becomes sincerc Christians; that they love what is true, and abound in the duties of hospitality, charity, and benevolence, vers. 3-6. When a friend learns this of a distant friend; when a pastor learns this of his people from whom he may be for a time separated; when those who have been instrumental in converting others learn this of their spiritual children; when a parent learns it of a son or daughter separated from him; when a teacher learns it of those who were formerly under his care, there is no joy that goes more directly to the heart than thisnothing that fills the soul with more

true thankfulness and peace.

(3.) It is the duty and the privilege of those who love the cause of religion to go and preach the gospel to those who are destitute, expecting to receive nothing from them, and doing it as a work of pure benevolence, ver. 7. The missionary spirit existed early in the Christian church, and indeed may be regarded as the prevailing spirit in those times. It has always been the prevailing spirit when religion has flourished in the church. At such times there have been many who were willing to leave their own quiet homes, and the religious privileges connected with a well-organized church, and to break away from the ties which bind to country and kindred, and to go among a distant people to publish salvation. In this cause, and with this spirit, the apostles spent their lives. In this cause, the 'brethren' referred to by John went forth to labour. In this cause, thousands have laboured former times, and to the fact that they were willing to do it is to be traced all the happy influence of religion in the world. Our own religious privileges now we owe to the fact that in former times there were those who were willing to 'go forth taking nothing of the Gentiles,' devoting themselves, without hope of reward or to propagate it, they should value it

fame, to the business of making known the name of the Saviour in what were then the dark places of the earth. The same principle is acted on now in Christian missions, and with the same propriety; and as we in Christian lands owe the blessings which we enjoy to the fact that in former times there were those who were willing thus to go forth, so it will be true that the richest blessings which are to descend on India, and Africa, and the islands of the sea, will be traced in future times to the fact that there are in our age those who are willing to follow the example of the apostles in going forth to do good to a dying world.

(A. D. 90.

(4.) It is our duty to contribute to the support of those who thus go among the heathen, and to aid them in every way in which we can promote the object which they have in view. So John felt it to be the duty of the church in regard to those who went forth in his time; and so, when the church, under the influence of Diotrephes, had refused to do it, he commended Gaius for performing that duty, vers. 6, 8. Now, as then, from the nature of the case, missionaries to the heathen must go 'taking nothing' of those among whom they labour, and expecting that, for a long time at least, they will do nothing for their support. They go as strangers. They go to those who do not believe the truth of the gospel; who are attached to their own superstitions; who contribute largely to the support of their own temples, and altars, and priesthood; who are, as yet, incapable of appreciating the value of a purer religion; who have no desire for it, and who are disposed to reject it. In many cases, the heathen to whom the missionary goes are miserably poor, and it is only this religion, which as yet they are not disposed to receive, that can clevate them to habits of industry, and furnish them with the means of supporting religious teachers from abroad. Under these circumstances, no duty is more obvious than that of contributing to the support of those who go to such places as Christian missionaries. the churches value the gospel enough to send their brethren among the heathen

there: if they regard it as the duty of any of their number to leave their comfortable homes in a Christian land in order to preach to the heathen, they should feel that those who go make far greater sacrifices than those who con-They give up tribute to their support. all; we give only the small sum, not diminishing our own comforts, which is needful to sustain them.

(5.) For the same reason it is our duty to contribute to the support of missionaries in the destitute places of our own land, ver. 8. They often go among a people who are as destitute, and who will as little appreciate the gospel, and who are as much prejudiced against it, and who are as poor, as the heathen. They are as likely to be charged with being actuated by mercenary motives, if they ask for support, as missionaries They often go among the heathen are. among people as little able and disposed to build churches and school-houses as the heathen are. Nothing is more obvious, therefore, than that those who have the gospel, and who have learned to prize and value it in some measure as it should be, should contribute to the support of those who go to convey its blessings to others, until those to whom they go shall so learn to prize it as to be able and willing to maintain it. That, under a faithful ministry, and with the Divine blessing, will not be long; for the gospel always, when it secures a hold in a community, makes men feel that it confers infinitely more blessings than it takes away, and that, even in a pecuniary point of view, it contributes more by far than it takes. What community is more prospered, or is more rich in all that promotes the temporal welfare of man, than that where the gospel has the most decided influence?

(6.) We may see from this epistle that churches ought to be united in promoting the cause of religion, vers. 8, 9. They should regard it as a common cause in which one has as much concern as another, and where each should feel it a privilege to co-operate with his brethren. One church, in proportion to its ability, has as much interest in the spread of Christianity as another, and should feel that it has much responsi- mulas of doctrine, may be cast out as

enough to minister to their wants when | bility in doing it. Between different churches there should be that measure of confidence and love that they will deem it a privilege to aid each other in the common cause, and that one shall be ready to further the benevolent designs undertaken by another. In every Christian land, and among the people of every Christian denomination, missionaries of the gospel should find friends who will be willing to co-operate with them in advancing the common cause, and who, though they may bear a different name, and may speak a different language, should cheerfully lend their aid in spreading the common Christianity.

(7.) We may see, from this epistle, the evil of having one troublesome man in the church, ver. 10. Such a man, by his talents, his address, his superior learning, his wealth, or by his arrogance, pride, and self-confidence, may control a church, and effectually hinder its promoting the work of religion. church referred to by the apostle would have done its duty well enough, if it had not been for one ambitious and worldly man. No one can properly estimate the evil which one such man can do, nor the calamity which comes upon a church when such a man places himself at its head. As a man of wealth, of talents, and of learning, may do great good, if his heart is right, so may a man similarly endowed do proportionate evil if his heart is wicked. Yet how often has the spirit which actuated Diotrephes prevailed in the church! There is nothing that confers so much power on men as the control in religious matters; and hence, in all ages, proud and ambitious men have sought dominion over the conscience, and have sought to bring the sentiments of men on religion to subjection to their will.

(8.) There may be circumstances where it is proper—where it is a duty -to receive those who have been cast out of the church, ver. 8. The decisions of a church, under some proud and ambitious partisan leader, are often eminently unjust and harsh. The most modest, humble, devoted, and zealous men, under a charge of heresy, or of some slight aberration from the forunworthy to be recognized as ministers of the gospel, or even as unworthy to have a place at the table of the Lord. Some of the best men on earth have been thus disowned by the church; and it is no certain evidence against a man when he is denounced as a heretic, or disowned as a member, by those who bear the Christian name. If we are satisfied that a man is a Christian, we should receive him as such, however he may be regarded by others; nor should we hesitate to help him forward in his Christian course, or in any way to assist him to do good.

(9.) Finally, let us learn from the have long since gone, and in we examples commended in this brief epistle, presence we also must soon appear.

to do good. Let us follow the example of Gaius—the hospitable Christian; the large-hearted philanthropist; the friend of the stranger; the helper of those who were engaged in the cause of the Lord—a man who opened his heart and his house to welcome them when driven out and disowned by others. Let us imitate Demetrius, in obtaining a good report of those who know us; in so living that, if the aged apostle John were still on earth, we might be worthy of his commendation, and more than all, of the approbation of that gracious Saviour before whom these good men have long since gone, and in whose presence we also must soon appear.